

EXHIBIT 8

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ARA KIMBROUGH :
 :
-v.- : NO. 24-CV-04470
 :
BUCKS COUNTY, LAUREN SMITH :
SHAE RANDOLPH AND DAVID KRATZ :

****DEPOSITION****

DEPONENT: David Kratz
DATE: Tuesday, February 18, 2025
TIME: 3:15 p.m.
PLACE: 55 East Court Street, Room 432
Doylestown, PA
REPORTER: Ted Allen
SOLICITOR: Jaclyn C. Grieser

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 2

A P P E A R A N C E S:

MANSOUR LAW, LLC.
BY: WILLIAM P. MANSOUR, ESQ.
961 Marcon Blvd, Suite 425
Allentown, PA 18109
(610) 936-6863
wpm@themannsourfirm.com
Representing the Plaintiff,
Ara Kimbrough

BUCKS COUNTY LAW DEPARTMENT
BY: JACLYN C. GRIESER, ESQ.
DARA BURNS, ESQ.
55 East Court Street
Doylestown, PA 18901
(215) 348-6140
jgrieser@buckscounty.org
Representing the Defendant,
Bucks County, David Kratz, et al.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 3

I N D E X

WITNESS:	PAGE
DAVID KRATZ	
By Ms. Grieser	4
By Mr. Mansour	48

E X H I B I T S

MARKED	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
D-5	Guidelines and policy review	28
D-8	Department vision, mission, values and code of ethics	31
D-7	Release of past offender incarceration dates	35
D-9	Public information and education	36

(Exhibits marked and attached to transcript.)

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 4

1 (It is stipulated and agreed by and among counsel for
2 the respective parties that the reading, signing, sealing
3 and filing of the transcript is waived, and that all
4 objections, except as to the form of the questions, are
5 reserved until the time of the trial.)

6 ---

7 DAVID KRATZ was called as a witness and after having
8 been first duly sworn, according to law, was examined and
9 testified as follows:

10 --- EXAMINATION ---

11 BY MS. GRIESER:

12 Q. Thank you. State your full name for the
13 record.

14 A. David Kratz, D-A-V-I-D, K-A -- K-R-A-T-Z.

15 Q. And what is your position here with the
16 county?

17 A. Director of corrections.

18 Q. Mr. Kratz, if you recall, you had done the
19 deposition with plaintiff's counsel on was it Fri-
20 day, Thursday maybe?

21 MR. MANSOUR: Wednesday.

22 THE WITNESS: Wednesday.

23 BY MS. GRIESER:

24 Q. Wednesday, okay, last week. And for a va-
25 riety of reasons I didn't get around to following up

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 5

1 with you, so that's what we're doing now. I'm not
2 going to go through the list of usual 'don't talk
3 over me', your -- all of your responses need to be
4 verbal. I will ask you, though, if you are -- and I
5 know you won't take offense to this 'cause you just
6 won't.

7 But are you under the influence of any al-
8 cohol or medication that would affect your testimony
9 here today?

10 A. I am not.

11 Q. Great, okay, all right. It's, I'm just
12 going -- It's going to seem weird that I'm starting
13 off kind of in the middle, but that's where we kind
14 of left off, okay. So the first thing I wanted to
15 ask you about was required staff training.

16 If you're in a managerial or supervisory
17 position, is there any ongoing training require-
18 ments?

19 A. So there are forty hours of in-service
20 training requirements in addition to messages of the
21 week and micro trainings. Management is also permi-
22 tted to pick and choose other trainings that may not
23 be offered by the department of corrections. County
24 corrections they can choose seminars, webinars,
25 there's all kinds of free trainings out there

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 6

1 through Corrections 1, Lexapol, et cetera.

2 Q. And are there trainings -- The number of
3 hours of this in-service training, are they tracked?

4 A. They are.

5 Q. So Lt. Kimbrough, at the time he would've
6 been required to do forty in-service hours of train-
7 ing?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. Would he have also been responsible to
10 train his subordinates?

11 A. In any new records/reception or any type
12 of changes, it may happen in the areas that he, he
13 supervised, yes.

14 Q. And in addition to that forty hours of in-
15 service training, is there anything extra that Lt.
16 Kimbrough or anybody that works as a supervisor in
17 the records office would need clearance for in order
18 to use some of the national databases?

19 A. So records is one of our, well, it is our
20 most secure area it is. We are, we have what's
21 called CLEAN Term -- Terminal Commonwealth Law, Law
22 Enforcement Network. A terminal similar to what
23 other police, police departments and other correc-
24 tions departments have, essentially off of a biomet-
25 ric which a fingerprint returns information about a

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 7

1 prisoner, very sensitive and protected information.
2 Wants and warrants, FBI number, state identification
3 number, crook -- a complete criminal history. I'm
4 trying to think of what else we have in there. In
5 addition to that, interfaces that they have in that
6 office include PennDOT, CPIN which is a photogra-
7 phic database of mug shots.

8 Q. What is it, CPIN?

9 A. CPIN.

10 Q. C-P-I --

11 A. Commonwealth Photographic Information Net-
12 work, and I may have missed a letter on that acro-
13 nym.

14 Q. That's --

15 A. But CPIN, yeah.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. So it is one of our more specialized and
18 highly confidential areas, there's regulations that
19 go along with that. There's an audit by the state
20 police every year who maintain that system, we just
21 had another one in the past about a month ago.

22 There's secure access to the doors not, not just
23 anyone's permitted into that area because of the
24 physical, physical proximity to that information.

25 Q. Okay.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 8

1 A. There's also CLEAN training and criminal
2 history training to operate those systems and JNET
3 training. JNET is the Justice Network which inter-
4 faces with CLEAN and various other Pennsylvania and
5 out-of-state systems. So there's a lot of privacy
6 concerns and a lot of training for, for privacy and
7 protected information.

8 Q. Now, if somebody is promoted to a lieuten-
9 ant that involves -- and correct me if I'm wrong --
10 an exam and an interview; is that right?

11 A. Generally speaking, yes. Again we've had
12 different types of, of promotions throughout the
13 years since COVID hit. But yeah, there's generally
14 an interview discussion, conversation, expectations
15 are laid out about what the new position is.

16 Q. What do you look for in, in a lieutenant
17 who is going to supervise the records and recep-
18 tion?

19 A. So we have two, we have two administrative
20 lieutenants. We have one that supervises record and
21 reception, the other that is deals with some other
22 sensitive information. So for the records and re-
23 ception lieutenant, again experience in records,
24 knowledge of policies and procedures, the ability to
25 make common sense decisions, to not overreact, to

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 9

1 keep information private and confidential. And to
2 actually run a staff of, I believe we have seven or
3 eight individuals in that office right now. Make
4 sure that we adhere to the Title 37 Standards for
5 that office, and make sure we're in compliance with
6 Pennsylvania State Police. Again I try not to use
7 the acronym PSP, Pennsylvania State Police. Common-
8 wealth Law Enforcement Network --

9 Q. Okay.

10 A. -- policies and procedures as well as JNET
11 policies and procedures.

12 Q. And as the supervisor of the records and
13 reception department, the majority if not all of
14 what you just listed would have been the responsibi-
15 lity of Lt. Kimbrough?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Now, I'm going to refer to the first in-
18 vestigation done by HR which, for lack of a better
19 term, I'm calling it the bullying investigation.

20 You were aware that there was an investi-
21 gation into Lt. Kimbrough?

22 A. I was.

23 Q. And you knew the general nature of the al-
24 legations?

25 A. Yes, I knew there was an anonymous comp-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 10

1 laint with some things spelled out regarding some
2 behaviors that were alleged.

3 Q. Did you ever speak personally to Lt. Kim-
4 brough about this investigation?

5 A. We had some general conversations about
6 it, again I would -- I was not privy to the investi-
7 gation. It wasn't a department of corrections in-
8 vestigation, it was I guess a human resources maybe,
9 maybe law department -- I don't know -- that were
10 looking into the allegations. So we had some con-
11 versations about what, you know what the content was
12 which essentially was probably not talking to people
13 as nicely as he could've, and I guess there was some
14 accusations. I used the word "bullying", I don't
15 know that it was you know up to that.

16 But yeah, so I was generally aware of it,
17 we had some conversations. I did speak with Lt.
18 Kimbrough probably every, at least every two weeks
19 about operations. He would call with a question,
20 you know this came up, he was very upset about it
21 and, you know, I tried to calm him down a little bit
22 about it.

23 Q. He was upset about the bullying investiga-
24 tion?

25 A. He was.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 11

1 Q. How could you tell that?

2 A. He told me as such he had, it was very --
3 It was just something that he felt was unfair and
4 that, you know, it was an anonymous complaint at
5 that point in time but --

6 Q. At some point did you learn that HR had
7 founded the bullying investigation?

8 A. Yeah, you know when, when the investiga-
9 tion was completed I learned that. You know, they
10 did do some interviews and they founded that there
11 were some issues. I don't know if it rose to the
12 level of bullying, but there were some issues espec-
13 ially with communication with subordinates and, and
14 others.

15 Q. And did you get an opportunity to review
16 the findings and recommendations from that investi-
17 gation?

18 A. I did.

19 Q. After you had reviewed that, did you have
20 any feeling as to punishment? What, what appropri-
21 ate punishment might be if ultimately he was found
22 to have committed these acts?

23 A. So I believe we, we decided. We decided
24 on a step one of the -- of the disciplinary process,
25 it's just one small step above a counseling. Um,

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 12

1 knowing Lt. Kimbrough for, for many, many years, I
2 know this is a guy that takes things to heart, and
3 but I knew that he was salvageable. I knew this was
4 something, that it was just a small bump in the road
5 and we basically we -- We're going to go back and
6 we're going to talk about IBC skills and communica-
7 tion with, with subordinates and then try to put
8 that behind us.

9 Q. Okay. And IPC stands for?

10 A. Interpersonal communication skills.

11 Q. Is that something that your COs get speci-
12 fically trained on?

13 A. Everybody does yeah.

14 Q. If you know, do you know what date Lt.
15 Kimbrough was notified that he would be attending a
16 fact finding hearing?

17 A. Yeah, I believe it was May 30th or there-
18 about.

19 Q. And if you know or came to know what date
20 Lt. Kimbrough called Attny. Zeiger?

21 A. I believe it was actually the same day, if
22 not maybe the very next day.

23 Q. What did you think of that timing?

24 A. I thought it was reactionary to the disci-
25 pline. Again, having known Lt. Kimbrough for a long

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 13

1 time, I know this investigation rocked him a little
2 bit. But I definitely felt it was sort of retaliation-
3 tory against the county to just line up right after
4 that notice of fact finding was given, and then all
5 of a sudden make that call.

6 Q. So you thought it was more than just a co-
7 incidence?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Do you recall any -- I know that there are
10 fights between inmates and that officers have to get
11 involved in, but do you recall there being a speci-
12 fic fight around this time prior to May 30th, actu-
13 ally, where somebody got pulled from reception to
14 help break it up?

15 A. Are we talking about on May 30th?

16 Q. No, it would be sometime before May
17 30th --

18 A. Right.

19 Q. -- or shortly before.

20 A. Shortly before, are we speaking about the
21 incident in reception the day that that happened, or
22 are we talking --

23 Q. No.

24 A. -- about prior?

25 Q. We're talking about prior.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 14

1 A. Yeah, again I would have to go over rec-
2 ords, I don't -- We have lots of altercations we
3 document, anyway documentation's key. So you know,
4 in our world just putting hands in handcuffs on an
5 inmate can result in documentation. So again
6 there's different levels of, of, of issues that we
7 have. It could've been a medical issue, it could be
8 anything, we have a -- we have a lot of different
9 things going on.

10 Q. Okay. But there is nothing that --

11 A. Nothing that jumps --

12 Q. -- to you that stood out?

13 A. Nothing that jumps out, right.

14 Q. Did Lt. Kimbrough, was he hesitant at all
15 to let his chain of command know when he felt that
16 there was something wrong in his reception unit, I
17 guess the reception unit?

18 A. So again I don't, I didn't -- I never had
19 any direct contact with Lt. Kimbrough on that. You
20 know we, I've had discussions with his bosses about
21 the area in reception as I do with every other sec-
22 tion of the jail. Um, you know anything that he may
23 have brought forward, they would bring to me if
24 there was a concern.

25 Q. But he didn't hesitate to talk to you dir-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 15

1 ectly?

2 A. No, if there was an issue he would come to
3 me directly, and part of that was because I did that
4 job.

5 Q. You did?

6 A. So I, I was -- When I was a sergeant I was
7 put into that area to, to help improve it, and we
8 made a lot of changes and things. Um, when I was
9 promoted to captain from lieutenant, I needed a re-
10 placement and Lt. Kimbrough was the replacement, he
11 had extensive experience in there. So that was the
12 reason you know we selected him, so we would -- we
13 would absolutely talk if there was a major issue.
14 As with all the lieutenants, my door is always open
15 if there's something that needs to be discussed.

16 Q. Now, we've talked a lot with Mr. Mansour
17 last time regarding staffing within, within the pri-
18 son and specifically in the reception, record and
19 reception unit.

20 Did you have any conversations with Lt.
21 Kimbrough regarding staffing?

22 A. So we've had general conversations. Again
23 when we talk staffing, staffing is, is dynamic it's
24 not static. And unfortunately you know as I would
25 say, you know as I reported there are staffing vac-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 16

1 ancies, right. We talk about that openly it's na-
2 tionwide, there are staffing vacancies in every pri-
3 son known to mankind right now, state/federal/local.
4 What we drill down is the specifics of staffing
5 which again would expose us to safety and security
6 of the building, the inmates and the staff. So you
7 know there's, there's a general knowledge out there.
8 I mean if you google prison staffing, you're going
9 to find everybody's sort of saying, hey, recruitment
10 retention is a big thing.

11 Um, however, you know the days of when it,
12 when I was an officer -- When I was a sergeant sup-
13 ervising that, I mean you know, you have to get in
14 your way-back machine twelve, fifteen years. You
15 know, we would have two to three officers down there
16 sitting there doing nothing. When you get into a
17 mode where again or dynamic with staffing, we're not
18 going to have three officers sitting there doing no-
19 thing, we're going to deploy those officers to other
20 acute areas, and then when inmates come in we'll
21 move people back. So it's, it's a little bit diff-
22 erent than it was and, and again we all have to
23 adapt to the hand we're dealt whatever day that the,
24 you know, the staffing levels are so.

25 Q. So if I'm understanding you correctly, the

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 17

1 fact that confinement facilities nationwide are
2 largely understaffed, is that's different than what
3 Lt. Kimbrough told Attny. Zeiger to your knowledge?

4 A. To my knowledge, yes.

5 Q. How is it different?

6 A. Again he, I believe he was trying to draw
7 dots between staffing and, and the death which I
8 don't see the dots there. I think again exposing
9 information of a confidential nature such as staff-
10 ing numbers he was deployed with there is, is very
11 different. You know again we, we speak to our pri-
12 son board in general terms about staffing numbers
13 and vacancies and things like that. The press will,
14 from time to time, do stories on that but you know
15 the, that is a general. The entire world knows that
16 staffing and retention is a big thing. How we dep-
17 loy our staffing and move our people around, again
18 that is very security sensitive and confidential and
19 that can create risks, we don't put that out.

20 Q. So would you agree with me that describing
21 a clothed pat down procedure, is that dictated by
22 jail policies and SOPs?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And getting taken back for an unclothed
25 body search, is that dictated by policies and SOPs?

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 18

1 A. It is.

2 Q. Even the fact that somebody was given a
3 sack lunch, is that guided by policies and SOPs?

4 A. It is.

5 Q. And what is your understanding of what was
6 shared with Attny. Zeiger?

7 A. I believe staffing levels amongst other
8 things were shared. I believe there was some alle-
9 gations that were shared that again, I believe, are
10 untrue that people were pulled from the unit. I
11 don't really fully know all that was disclosed, just
12 what was in the printed, printed document that Mr.
13 Mansour showed us -- showed me at my deposition
14 prior where it was spelled out that these things
15 were, were told. And that the attorney had men --
16 had told him it was a confidentiality agreement, and
17 there was a little back and forth in some specific
18 stuff.

19 Q. Do you know, are you aware if Lt. Kim-
20 brough divulged correctional officers' names?

21 A. Yes, I believe he did.

22 Q. How about the names of the inmate that was
23 able to smuggle in contraband?

24 A. Yes, I believe that was in there.

25 Q. We talked -- I don't know if we talked

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 19

1 about the video on Mr. Mansour's direct to view, but
2 there is a video of a portion of the -- of these
3 events; is that right?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. Were you able to watch that?

6 A. I was.

7 Q. And who was, to your knowledge who was
8 staffed in the reception unit?

9 A. So I believe Ofcr. Atilles and Ofcr. Ulmer
10 were both in there, and I believe there was -- there
11 may have been a third officer that was staffed in
12 there.

13 Q. Is there a point in the video where Ofcr.
14 Ulmer leaves the reception unit?

15 A. There is.

16 Q. What's your understanding of why she left
17 the reception unit?

18 A. I believe she was responding to a code,
19 there was an issue an emergency somewhere that she,
20 she left the, the reception unit.

21 Q. If there is a code that goes out, how does
22 that go out? How, how are other correctional offi-
23 cers alerted to that fact?

24 A. So it would go out over the radio, and it
25 would also go out over the intercom.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 20

1 Q. So if somebody was in the records depart-
2 ment, they would have heard the code?

3 A. They would have, yeah.

4 Q. And if somebody was in the hallway between
5 the records and reception unit, would they have
6 heard the code?

7 A. They would've and incidentally looking at
8 that video, there was actually a records officer
9 over in that unit when the code was called.

10 Q. Tell me a little bit more about that.

11 A. So again I don't know why I believe it was
12 Ofcr. Travis it looked like to me, why he was over
13 there from records. I mean again they interface so
14 that we would often see a records officer over in
15 the reception area back and forth. I see from the
16 video Ofcr. Ulmer leave it looks like responding to
17 the emergency situation, and then Ofcr. Travis
18 leaves like right behind her. Which again you know
19 safety, take a look around. If you're, you're leav-
20 ing an area vacant and he was there, there was no
21 reason for him to go back to records, he could've
22 stayed in that area.

23 When I was -- When I was the sergeant and
24 lieutenant in that office, again you can see direct-
25 ly through the window towards the reception area.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 21

1 Um, I would -- You know, if I was at my desk at the
2 time and there was a code, I would get up from my
3 desk and walk out into the hallway as a supervi-
4 sor. Ask the lieutenant in main control if there's
5 anything I can do, and take a walk over into the re-
6 ception area just to make sure, you know, common
7 sense staffing is adequate.

8 Q. So to be clear if you're in the records
9 department, you can see through to the reception
10 across the hall?

11 A. Yes, there's a big glass window. I'm not
12 saying it's an unobstructed view, but you can see
13 into the parts of the reception area from, from the
14 supervisor's desk and from the main officer's desk.

15 Q. To the best of your knowledge, do you know
16 if Lt. Kimbrough was working at this time or in the
17 records department at this time?

18 A. I don't know if he was in the records dep-
19 artment. I do believe we did check staffing that
20 day, and he was marked as in attendance that day.

21 Q. And to be clear, he does not show up on
22 the -- on the video?

23 A. I do not see him on the video in any, any
24 way.

25 Q. So there have been several instances where

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 22

1 third parties have sought to, have sought to get
2 certain things from the -- from the jail such as
3 video, use of force regulations; is that right?

4 A. Correct, and policy and procedure.

5 Q. And policies and procedure, and you've
6 been involved with some of those legal issues; is
7 that right?

8 A. Many.

9 Q. You mentioned, I think before, right to
10 know?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And why is it; have you testified regard-
13 ing right to know in court as well?

14 A. Yes, many times.

15 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, has any
16 judge required you to turn over those sensitive --
17 Well, hold on, are those sensitive things,
18 sensitive policies --

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. -- that you use?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Why?

23 A. Again it's just a balance test with safety
24 and security in the institution, so we've had seve-
25 ral cases where I've had to take the stand. I bel-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 23

1 ieve we went to the Commonwealth Court, and I actu-
2 ally won on an appeal from a case. We, again judges
3 have done in camera reviews of these things, they
4 have spoken to the fact that the security risk out-
5 weighs the release of this information pretty con-
6 sistently. We, we do release policy and procedure.

7 We have a lot of forward facing policies.
8 For instance, I always use the mail policy, that
9 does not harm anyone to know our mail policy. Our
10 use of force, our tactics, daily operations of cer-
11 tain areas are, are confidential and guarded. And
12 the few times that we do have to release those
13 things they are heavily, heavily, heavily redacted.

14 Q. In the Patterson case specifically, are
15 you aware if there was a protective order in place
16 during discovery?

17 A. I believe there was, yes.

18 Q. And if you know, are you aware that the,
19 the Court sealed the majority of the filings in that
20 case?

21 A. I, that's my understanding, yes.

22 Q. And we've had other civil litigation with
23 the same result?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Why is what Lt. Kimbrough disclosed to

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 24

1 Attny. Zeiger the sort of information that we fight
2 to protect disclosure from --

3 A. So --

4 Q. -- from disclosure?

5 A. Again it's, it's a pol -- it's a safety
6 issue. So we, we, when we talk in general terms
7 again about, about staffing that's one thing. When
8 we drill down into other information, we can try to
9 make a connection that I don't believe exists be-
10 tween staffing deployment and the death. I think
11 it's false information on top of that, but it is
12 confidential information and -- You know, again we,
13 we fight to protect that and we have in the -- in
14 the courts as well.

15 Q. Should a, should a person who is coming in
16 as a -- as a new inmate in intake, should they know
17 if they knew of the details regarding how inmate
18 Rhoades was able to successfully smuggle contraband
19 into the jail? Have you seen issues like that be
20 taken advantage of by --

21 A. Yeah.

22 Q. -- other inmates?

23 A. So one of the reasons that you know this
24 is, this is something that happens nationwide, di-
25 versions can be created by inmates and that's a big

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 25

1 thing. I think some of our testimony in court in
2 front of several judges were that an inmate will
3 create a diversion, will create a fake medical emer-
4 gency. Will create a small fight or something like
5 that to draw staff to an area, so that another in-
6 mate can do something that they shouldn't be doing.
7 Again, they don't need to know how many staff we de-
8 ploy, what we deploy where, what our policies are
9 for searches, what our restricted housing policies
10 are, things like that. The more that they know, the
11 more that that can be used against us.

12 And I know that might sound crazy to some
13 people, but it happens. This is jail you know, so
14 it's happened more than a few times. And almost
15 every escape that you look at or every injury or, or
16 something that's the form of an assault, a high
17 level assault, you'll see diversions created in
18 order to make that happen.

19 Q. And knowing the details of intake proced-
20 ure, that would make it easier for other, other in-
21 mates or other people to take advantage of the in-
22 take procedure itself?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. And you've personally seen inmates exploit
25 information that, that they've learned such as di-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 26

1 versions in order to do something nefarious?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Just give me one second, okay. And you
4 believe what was shared with Attny. Zeiger by Lt.
5 Kimbrough was security sensitive?

6 A. Absolutely.

7 Q. And again, how is that different than just
8 general understaffing?

9 A. So, so again the numbers, the deployment
10 of the staff and again trying to link emergency res-
11 ponse to you know, a death.

12 Q. At some point you realized or you were,
13 you came to know that Lt. Kimbrough had disclosed
14 this information to Attny. Zeiger; how did that make
15 you feel?

16 A. I felt I really was -- It really was a
17 throw punch to me, it was I was very surprised. You
18 know, he's always been good about guarding informa-
19 tion like that to, to the degree where you know
20 we've had -- I'm sure we've had discussions over,
21 you know, somebody's calling about this information,
22 what should we do. We reach out to the law depart-
23 ment quite frequently when we have some questions
24 about those things. So yeah, personally it was a
25 real kick, I've invested a lot in Lt. Kimbrough's

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 27

1 career. He's been you know great, great taking over
2 that office and he's done well for us, so I person-
3 ally felt it was a big, a big betrayal kind of.

4 Q. Now, in his ultimate disciplinary or per-
5 sonnel action where Lt. Kimbrough was terminated, he
6 was terminated for divulging sensitive information;
7 is that right?

8 A. Correct, I believe there were a number of
9 policies that were on the fact finding notice.

10 Q. And conduct unbecoming which is --

11 A. I believe that was one of them, yes.

12 Q. Now this manual of policies, does every-
13 body get this manual?

14 A. So yes, so our standard -- our standard
15 operating procedures are available to every officer
16 when they first start at the academy till every day.
17 Right, right now on there there is two systems avai-
18 lable. Some, some folks are on a system called
19 Power DMS, DMS which is a system where we keep our,
20 our, our operating procedures. And then some do not
21 have access to that, and they are on a shared drive
22 amongst everybody read-only that anybody can access
23 at any time. Supervisors have access to it, ques-
24 tions can be looked at.

25 Q. So Lt. Kimbrough would have constant acc-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 28

1 ess to the policies?

2 A. Absolutely.

3 Q. The SOPs?

4 A. And he, he more than anybody should,
5 should know them. He, he was our hearing officer
6 for quite a bit which deposition involved when we,
7 we disciplined people. He, he was the person that
8 actually held the administrative hearings and looked
9 at the evidence and looked at the policies that were
10 violated and actually rendered -- It wasn't a bind-
11 ing decision, but a decision through our, our -- Ba-
12 sically our collective bargaining agreement folks
13 have, have, have that in our contract that they have
14 an appeals hearing, so that was one of his duties
15 and responsibilities prior, prior to coming back
16 into records.

17 Q. So I just lost my train of thought, hear-
18 ing officer. I'm going to show you what's been pre-
19 viously marked as Delta-5 and hand that to you, do
20 you recognize this?

21 A. Yep, yes.

22 (Whereupon Exhibit No. D-5 was so marked for
23 identification being guidelines and policy review.)

24 BY MS. GRIESER:

25 Q. And this is the standard operating proced-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 29

1 ures and guidelines and policy review, correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. And you'd agree with me that staff are re-
4 sponsible for a close and detailed knowledge of all
5 policies and procedures?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. Including revisions and updates?

8 A. That's number two, correct.

9 Q. And that staff are all issued this manual?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And you'd expect Lt. Kimbrough to be fami-
12 liar with this?

13 A. I would, yes.

14 Q. I'll go ahead and take that back from you,
15 hope I put it back in the right place.

16 THE REPORTER: I should've brought a whole
17 bunch of paperclips.

18 MS. GRIESER: Sorry, I could've, I
19 could've too.

20 BY MS. GRIESER:

21 Q. There you go. What would you say if Lt.
22 Kimbrough said that he didn't know that the informa-
23 tion that he disclosed was confidential and/or secu-
24 rity sensitive?

25 A. I would find that very hard to believe.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 30

1 Q. Why?

2 A. Well, just the length of time that he's
3 been with us, I believe it's probably sixteen/seven-
4 teen years. His experience as a records officer
5 prior to being a hearing officer, his experience as
6 a hearing officer and then again as a -- as a lieu-
7 tenant in that records department.

8 Q. I'm going to show you what's been previ-
9 ously marked as D-7. Is this the -- oh, sorry, I
10 don't want to go there yet. I want the department
11 vision, mission, values and something like that.

12 MS. BURNS: That was the ethics.

13 MS. GRIESER: Yes.

14 MR. MANSOUR: I think it was six.

15 MS. GRIESER: Six?

16 MR. MANSOUR: It's either six or eight.

17 MS. GRIESER: I just had eight, right?

18 MS. BURNS: Six is the table of offenses,
19 D-8 was the code of ethics, 0282COB.

20 MS. GRIESER: D-8?

21 MS. BURNS: D-8.

22 THE WITNESS: Would it be okay for me to
23 take a two-second break so I can look at my
24 phone, everybody fine with that?

25 MS. GRIESER: Sure, yeah.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 31

1 THE WITNESS: All right.

2 MR. MANSOUR: We'll go off.

3 (Break off the record.)

4 (Whereupon Exhibit No. D-8 was so marked for
5 identification being the department vision, mission, values
6 and code of ethics.)

7 BY MS. GRIESER:

8 Q. All right. So I am going to hand you
9 what's previously marked as D-8 which is the dep-
10 artment vision, mission, values and code of ethics,
11 and I'm going to draw your attention to the second
12 page where it says core values.

13 A. Got you.

14 Q. And then in order to maintain these val-
15 ues, all staff are required to -- and it has a coup-
16 le lines there -- they're required to maintain ins-
17 titutional security?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Do you believe that Lt. Kimbrough risked
20 or endangered institutional security by divulging
21 what he did to Attny. Zeiger?

22 A. I do, I think -- I think that again the
23 consequences of that really created a disarray and a
24 disturbance too on top of the security.

25 Q. How about report any conduct which threat-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 32

1 ens the institutional security or the professional
2 operations of the facility? Did, to the best of
3 your knowledge did Lt. Zeiger ever say that he wan-
4 ted to discipline CO Ulmer?

5 MR. MANSOUR: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: Lt. Kimbrough -- I'm sorry,
7 I did correct you, you said Zeiger.

8 BY MS. GRIESER:

9 Q. Oh, I'm sorry.

10 A. That's okay.

11 Q. Lt. Kim -- I beg your pardon, Lt. Kim-
12 brough. What was it, what was your answer?

13 A. I didn't, I was just waiting. I know the
14 objection, can I answer?

15 Q. Yes, you can answer.

16 A. Okay, all right. I always wait for that.
17 So your question was again say again.

18 Q. Were you ever made aware that Lt. Kim-
19 brough believed that CO Ulmer violated policy, and
20 that he wanted to discipline her in some way?

21 A. I, I was never made aware of that, and I
22 don't recall seeing anything in any of the documen-
23 tation that crossed my desk that there was anything
24 done with CO Ulmer.

25 Q. Would he have to run it past the chain of

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 33

1 command in order to discipline CO Ulmer?

2 A. If, if he were going -- Again we just
3 don't go ahead and discipline folks, we discuss, we
4 look at evidence and then we decide on what was vio-
5 lated. He certainly can initiate that, it wouldn't
6 be -- that's how discipline's initiated usually from
7 a supervisor. We would, we'd sit down to talk about
8 it, look at the evidence, and then when we decide if
9 we're going to move forward with any kind of disci-
10 pline.

11 Q. And you don't recall doing that with Lt.
12 Kimbrough in relation to CO Ulmer?

13 A. I do not.

14 Q. The one, two, three, four, fifth line
15 down: "Never become personally involved with an in-
16 mate, or those who are involved in the lives of in-
17 mates."

18 Would you consider Attny. Zeiger a person
19 who is involved in the lives of inmates?

20 A. I would say most definitely.

21 Q. And why is that?

22 A. Well, he was litigating for a family bas-
23 ically and they're, they're all involved in the
24 lives of inmates. So I mean I think that's a dir-
25 ect, to me that's, that's pretty direct.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 34

1 Q. And then I'm going to have you flip the
2 page and look at Paragraph 7.

3 A. Okay.

4 Q. "Staff members will never discuss the
5 charges, criminal records, cases or any information
6 about when inmate with another inmate or with any
7 outside group or organization with the single excep-
8 tion of those staff specifically authorized to do so
9 as part of their job description."

10 Do you believe Lt. Kimbrough violated that
11 seven -- Paragraph 7 when he disclosed what he did
12 to Attny. Zeiger?

13 A. I do.

14 Q. And does this relate to the violation of
15 BCDOC15 which is the confidentiality self-dis --

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. -- 12-step offense? Does it also go to
18 the conduct unbecoming?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Then I'm going to draw your attention to
21 Paragraph 11, "Employee will not provide inmates or
22 those associated with inmates any institutional or
23 policy information."

24 By describing how -- By describing how an
25 inmate was able to successfully exploit the intake

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 35

1 system and be able to secrete in contraband, do you
2 believe that that disclosure in detail is institu-
3 tional or policy information that shouldn't be dis-
4 closed?

5 A. Abs --

6 MR. MANSOUR: Objection to form.

7 BY MS. GRIESER:

8 Q. You can go ahead and answer.

9 A. Absolutely institutional, and again I
10 think we're treading into the policy arena as well.

11 Q. All right, thank you. That's all the
12 questions I have for you on that, thank you. And
13 I'm showing you Delta-7 marked as Delta-7, you can
14 just go ahead and look at that.

15 (Whereupon Exhibit No. D-7 was so marked for
16 identification being a release of past offender
17 incarceration dates.)

18 BY MS. GRIESER:

19 Q. Do you recognize that?

20 A. I do.

21 Q. Do you agree with me that there's a re-
22 quirement that the person requesting, or I'm sorry,
23 that is what --

24 Can you just read out the title?

25 A. Yes, Release of Past Offender Incarcera-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 36

1 tion Dates.

2 Q. And is there a requirement that the person
3 requesting the past confinement dates be the person
4 who served the time?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. Why is that?

7 A. Again to protect PII and create informa-
8 tion, personal identifying information. And inmates
9 have rights, just because someone wants to know when
10 a certain person was incarcerated doesn't mean that
11 we're going to give that information out.

12 Q. So inmates have a right to privacy?

13 A. They do, they have a little bit of a right
14 to privacy.

15 Q. And you mentioned CHRIA as well, that is
16 the Criminal History --

17 A. Records and Information Act.

18 Q. I'm going to go ahead and take that back
19 from you. And then I'm going to hand you D-9 which
20 is public information and education, so just take a
21 quick look at that.

22 (Whereupon Exhibit No. D-9 was so marked for
23 identification being public information and education.)

24 BY MS. GRIESER:

25 Q. Specifically I'd like to draw your atten-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 37

1 tion to Paragraph 1 Echo, it's on the second page.

2 It says, "Date and federal confidential -- confiden-
3 tiality guidelines will always be followed."

4 Do you believe that Lt. Kimbrough failed
5 to follow state and federal confidentiality guide-
6 lines when he -- when he told Attny. Zeiger what he
7 did?

8 A. I do.

9 Q. And it goes on to say that staff who are
10 designated by the director -- you, to speak to the
11 press specifically -- it says "will not provide any
12 specific information about a particular prisoner;"
13 is that right?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. So even if you tell somebody that they can
16 speak to the press, there's still a requirement that
17 they not mention specific information about a par --
18 particular prisoner?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. And to the best of your knowledge did Lt.
21 Kimbrough give information specifically about inmate
22 Rhoades?

23 A. He did.

24 Q. And it goes on to say, "This will ensure
25 that our confidentiality and responsibilities are

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 38

1 adhered to," correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. I'm going to take that back from you too.

4 Do you believe that Lt. Kimbrough violated this, and
5 this is the policy when he disclosed to Attny. Zei-
6 ger what he did.

7 A. I do.

8 Q. During, during the Mr. Mansour's question-
9 ing of you, there were a lot of hypotheticals that
10 he posed to you, do you recall that?

11 A. I do.

12 Q. There's one or two hypotheticals regarding
13 reporters, correct, if --

14 A. Yes, I believe so.

15 Q. Are reporters subject to county policies?

16 A. Reporters are not.

17 Q. What about the spouses of officers?

18 A. They're not subject to county policies.

19 Q. What about chiefs of police; are they sub-
20 ject to county policies or BCCF policies or SOPs?

21 A. They are not.

22 Q. Do you have any control over what they
23 share?

24 A. None.

25 Q. But you do have control over what your own

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 39

1 officers share, correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Rather control you can --

4 A. I may not be able to control it, but there
5 are policies and procedures in place that need to be
6 followed.

7 Q. All right, I think we already went through
8 this. Okay, all right. Once, once this investiga-
9 tion, the second investigation regarding Lt. Kim-
10 brough's disclosure to Attny. Zeiger began, you did
11 not suspend Lt. Kimbrough right away, do you recall
12 that?

13 A. I do.

14 Q. Do you remember why?

15 A. I think we were waiting for more concrete
16 information, again I was not part of that investiga-
17 tion and it went on for a little bit. And I believe
18 he had some time off that he had taken at that point
19 in time, so there was no, no harm to the, the rec-
20 ords office while he was away at that point.

21 Q. Was there any concern regarding whether
22 Lt. Kimbrough had disclosed any other information to
23 other people?

24 A. So absolutely, I mean again we only know
25 what Attny. Zeiger put in, in his filing or whatever

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 40

1 what he wrote down. I have no idea what other dis-
2 cussions occurred or what happened with, with who or
3 anyone else, but there was concern.

4 Q. Did, did Lt. Kimbrough's suspension and
5 termination, did that impact the records and recep-
6 tion, records and reception area?

7 A. Yeah, absolutely it did you know, once he
8 was no longer permitted to come into the facility
9 and work. Again, this is a position with a lot of
10 responsibility and a lot of skills, it's not like we
11 can just take one lieutenant and swap another lieu-
12 tenant in. Which you know you can do with we call
13 them floor lieutenants that run the jail, everybo-
14 dy's interchangeable by shift. The special know-
15 ledge, skills and clearances that you need to func-
16 tion in that office are pretty extensive. So you
17 know, one of the things I had to do was I had to go
18 in there and spend some time supervising. My warden
19 had to go in there and assist, or deputy warden had
20 to go in there and assist, so three of us really had
21 to take up some slack.

22 In addition, you know there's a structure
23 in there and a person who directs some of the work
24 flow. So officers without a supervisor in there
25 tend to, you know, go the way they want to go. So

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 41

1 it was a, it still is actually quite a -- quite a
2 hardship. A lot of -- A lot of disruption, a lot of
3 disharmony between the staff, and a lot of extra
4 work for, for us.

5 Q. Talk to me about the disharmony between
6 the, the staff. Do people, to the best of your
7 knowledge did others learn that Lt. Kimbrough had
8 divulged the information he did to Attny. Zeiger?

9 A. Again management did not put that out
10 there where that, wherever that came from had to be
11 from -- I would think Lt. Kimbrough kept in contact
12 with some of those officers. The reason I say that
13 is I know that, you know, during his disciplinary
14 hearing a lot of officers from that office spoke
15 and, you know, they would have to know what they
16 were speaking about in order to go up there and
17 talk. So I don't know what he conveyed to those
18 folks, but everybody knew that there was an issue
19 with, with confidentiality and contacting an attor-
20 ney that was representing somebody who was in active
21 litigation with us.

22 Q. Now, you mentioned that you had to go down
23 to the records unit for, for some time. You're the
24 director of the Bucks County Confinement Facility;
25 what are your usual duties?

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 42

1 A. Not that, my, my usual duties lately is
2 spending a lot of time with the law department on
3 cases like this. Yeah, and again policy and proced-
4 ure, grants, money, budgets, recruitment and re-
5 tention. I mean I have deputies and wardens that I
6 oversee, treatment, medications, assisted treatment,
7 I could go on and on. Psychiatric facilities, re-
8 structuring and re -- reorganization modules to ac-
9 commodate the needs of the inmates' safety. There's
10 a lot of higher level things that go on in my world.
11 Not that I'm not available to do anything, I'll
12 stand on a block and turn a key if I have to. But
13 yes, generally speaking my plate is pretty full with
14 those type of things.

15 Q. How, what number of employees do you emp-
16 loy?

17 A. I think we have about 320 total between
18 treatment staff, and we also have contracts for med-
19 ical and mental health that I have to oversee which
20 is quite extensive in itself. Commissary, telecom-
21 munications, there's a whole slew of things across
22 my desk. Food service is one that we're working on
23 right now, you know we serve a million meals a year
24 so it's a big contract.

25 Q. You mentioned medical staff, I assume that

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 43

1 medical staff administers medications?

2 A. Yes, among other things.

3 Q. So how they actually deliver the medica-
4 tions, is that something that you'd be concerned
5 with?

6 A. Absolutely, information on, on that yes.

7 Q. If you know approximately, how many doses
8 of medication are given out?

9 A. I do yeah. So the low end is 95,000 doses
10 of information -- of medication a month, and I bel-
11 iever we just did 103,000 last month that I reported
12 to the prison board. So again we report the num-
13 bers, but we don't report the, the method, the type
14 of medication, who's receiving what.

15 Q. And has the population of the, of the pri-
16 son changed much in the last ten, fifteen years?

17 A. Absolutely.

18 Q. How so?

19 A. Um, you know for example, probably a quar-
20 ter of the nursing staff that we have now. I mean
21 the need for a nursing staff is high because the
22 people coming into our jail are extremely drug aff-
23 ected, extremely high detox, extreme health condi-
24 tions. The drugs today in, in Bucks County, "trank"
25 which is commonly is the street name for Xylazine,

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 44

1 has really impacted the folks coming into our jail.
2 It's, it's become a lot more of a medical and mental
3 health facility as well because the people from the
4 streets are coming in with mental health issues.
5 There's just no place to put them in, so jail is the
6 last stop. So definitely a different, a different
7 jail than it was fifteen years ago, even ten years
8 ago, even pre, pre-COVID. Things have changed.

9 Q. And I think you mentioned before medical
10 as well, but do you have a MAT program or an MHB
11 program?

12 A. We have a very extensive MAT program, med-
13 ication assisted treatment where MOUD (medications
14 for opiate use disorder) are dispensed. We have
15 probably one of the most successful and highest num-
16 ber of MAT recipients of anybody in the commonwealth
17 by far very.

18 Q. So when you had to go down and fill in in
19 the records department, did that take your focus
20 away from these issues that we were just talking
21 about, your responsibilities we were just talking
22 about?

23 A. Yeah, it deserves some game. I only have
24 about sixty hours a week, seventy hours a week that
25 I can put into it, so where those hours go depends

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 45

1 upon what's going on there, absolutely.

2 Q. And did you also say the deputy director
3 had to fill in?

4 A. Yes, so yes, so the warden --

5 Q. The warden?

6 A. The super, the superintendent of the faci-
7 lity had to assist with quite a few things. And our
8 deputy warden, our deputy superintendent who had
9 some experience and background used to run a house
10 arrest in a restrict -- in a home confinement pro-
11 gram, so the three of us. Basically since I did the
12 job, I haven't had the most knowledge, so I would
13 have to problem solve and deal with that. And then
14 we tried to bring in some folks to, to work with the
15 day-to-day operations and work with the staffing
16 conflicts that were in there without, without a sup-
17 ervisor or a leader in that, in that office.

18 Q. So how would you qualify the disruption
19 to, excuse me, the disruption to the facility's fun-
20 ctioning as a whole?

21 A. It would create a lot of chaos up front, a
22 lot of chaos up front in those areas, records/recep-
23 tion especially. And then again having to task
24 folks who normally don't do that with spending time
25 in that area it, it was a big impact and it's still

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 46

1 ongoing.

2 Q. Why is it still ongoing?

3 A. We just don't have somebody to really jump
4 in at this, at this point in time with that level of
5 expertise. I mean Lt. Kimbrough was brought up
6 through that, through that rank structure and
7 there's not -- There's not like a backup, it's not
8 something you can have a backup for.

9 Q. So is it fair to say it was, it was a big
10 deal that that he was terminated?

11 A. Absolutely.

12 Q. What is good order and discipline?

13 A. So that that's how a facility like ours it
14 runs well, we have good order and discipline. So
15 people need structure, officers need to know their
16 expectation of what they're supposed to do and that
17 works up the chain of command. Again discipline,
18 consequences for things that are either willfully or
19 sometimes unwillfully happen, so you know that is
20 really the key and cornerstone of running a facility
21 like ours. You have to have it.

22 Q. And how if at all was good order and dis-
23 cipline affected by Lt. Kimbrough's --

24 A. So, so again we have the records office
25 and the reception area that both needed -- You know,

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 47

1 people when left to their own devices will tend to
2 do what they are comfortable doing. Some people
3 tend to go on and move into a leadership role as an
4 officer which is you know not what we, what we want.
5 Some people tried things and failed, but not having
6 that person supervising those two areas, very impor-
7 tant areas really led to an erosion of "goad", as we
8 say good order and discipline.

9 Q. And would it be fair to say that having a
10 position like that vacant, it has ripple effects
11 throughout the facility?

12 A. Absolutely, so implementing new machinery
13 that we're doing, new, new processes, dealing with
14 outside law enforcement. Simple, simple as being
15 able to instruct and triage prisoners that are com-
16 ing into the jail during high volume events. Daily
17 functioning of sentenced inmates moving prisoners
18 along, people getting sent to the state correctional
19 facilities who receive state sentences. Psychiatric
20 treatment at Norristown, that department has a hand
21 in that as well.

22 MS. GRIESER: Okay. Just give me one sec-
23 ond, hold on just one second. We can go off
24 the record real quick.

25 (Break off the record.)

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 48

1 MS. GRIESER: Thank you, Dir. Kratz. I
2 don't have any further questions for you at
3 this time.

4 --- EXAMINATION ---

5 BY MR. MANSOUR:

6 Q. I, I have some questions for you, Dir.
7 Kratz. So you used the words "chaos" and "disrup-
8 tion" to describe some of the effects of what's been
9 going on in the jail, correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. I think you also talked about harmony
12 among employees, correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Now, that chaos and disruption and dishar-
15 mony was caused by the county wasn't it?

16 A. I would say it was caused by the county
17 having to terminate Lt. Kimbrough for his actions.

18 Q. Sure, so if the county didn't suspend him
19 and discharge Lt. Kimbrough, there would be no
20 chaos, disruption and disharmony, right?

21 A. Well, I think, I think there might be.
22 There'd be less but again, you know if that informa-
23 tion got out there, there's people that have strong
24 feelings about, about what was done.

25 Q. How would that information have gotten out

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 49

1 there?

2 A. Again Lt. Kimbrough could've told people,
3 I mean I'm not telling people who had, you know.

4 Q. You don't know if he told anybody or not,
5 do you?

6 A. I, the only -- The only thing I really
7 know is that he brought people to his fact finding
8 hearings, and I'm assuming that they testified too,
9 they had to know what he was terminated for.

10 Q. This fact finding hearing regarding his
11 conversation with Attny. Zeiger?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. He brought people to that hearing?

14 A. I believe he did, yes.

15 Q. Who?

16 A. I don't know, I know --

17 Q. Are you sure you're not confusing that
18 with, with the --

19 A. Oh, you know what, you're right, sir. I'm
20 sorry, my apologies. I'm confusing it with the
21 first hearing, correct.

22 Q. So as far as you know, at least with re-
23 gard to the fact finding hearing concerning his con-
24 versations with Attny. Zeiger --

25 A. Yes.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 50

1 Q. -- he didn't bring any witnesses?

2 A. I, I have no knowledge of that, again ex-
3 cuse my, my confusion.

4 Q. Now, you testified that you were aware
5 that Attny. Zeiger was subject to a protective
6 order, right?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Which means that he couldn't disclose any
9 of the information that he learned from Attny. Kim-
10 brough, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. And you're not aware of Attny. Kimbrough
13 disclosing that information to anybody else, are
14 you?

15 A. I am not, I have no knowledge.

16 Q. So tell me, how can there what -- How
17 could his conversation with Attny. Zeiger have com-
18 promised the security of the jail if Attny. Zeiger
19 was subject to a protective order?

20 A. So, so again he, he violated I think six
21 policies that we talked about today so, so that is
22 the basis of termination. I don't know if the basis
23 of the termination is what he disclosed specifical-
24 ly. I don't know again what he disclosed, I just
25 know what Attny. Zeiger put in that document so.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 51

1 Q. Okay, and that's fair enough, and I und-
2 erstand that. But my question is, you had talked
3 about how his conversation --

4 You had been asked a number of questions
5 with regard to policies about whether my client's
6 conversation with Attny. Zeiger compromised jail
7 security, for example?

8 A. Right.

9 Q. And you answered in the affirmative. But
10 given what we know about this case, that he spoke
11 with Attny. Zeiger and Attny. Zeiger was subject to
12 a protective order, how could that have led to a
13 compromise in jail security?

14 A. I don't know.

15 Q. As far as you're aware, my client did not
16 disclose the use of force policies to Attny. Zeiger
17 did he?

18 A. I don't believe there was a use of force
19 on that case.

20 Q. Do you know whether he just -- As far as
21 you're aware, he did not disclose the SOP, the jail
22 SOP to Attny. Zeiger did he?

23 A. I don't believe he did, he may have.

24 Q. There was --

25 A. I can't really, you know, speculate on

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 52

1 what he told him other than what was in print.

2 Q. There was surveillance video you, you des-
3 cribed of the incident regarding Mr. Rhoades and him
4 smuggling drugs into the jail, correct?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. And as far as you're aware, my client
7 didn't disclose that video to Attny. Zeiger did he?

8 A. As far as I'm aware he did not, he may
9 have disclosed the existence of it.

10 Q. Now, in terms of any policies regarding
11 the movement of personnel around the facility, any
12 written policies to that effect? As far as you're
13 aware, Mr. Kimbrough did not disclose any of those
14 policies to Attny. Zeiger did he?

15 A. I believe that -- And again I don't have
16 the document in front of me, but I believe he tried
17 to draw a link between staffing numbers and an in-
18 mate death.

19 Q. Sure.

20 A. So I'm assuming he had to talk about num-
21 bers; specifically where people were, what the num-
22 bers were that day, and who were the staff in that
23 section.

24 Q. But you don't know that for sure, right?

25 A. I don't.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 53

1 Q. In fact the only --

2 A. I don't think any of us do.

3 Q. Your only knowledge of that information
4 came from the filing Attny. Zeiger filed with the
5 Court that I asked you about in the last deposition,
6 right?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. So and that was, that's the extent of your
9 knowledge of my client's conversation with Attny.
10 Zeiger?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. And so it would be fair to say that when
13 my client was first suspended in June and then term-
14 inated in July of 2024, you didn't really know the
15 details of his conversation with Attny. Zeiger did
16 you?

17 A. I don't think any of us do, just what was
18 presented, presented in that -- in that attempt to
19 reopening it. And correct me if I'm wrong, legally
20 trying to reopen the case or add witnesses to that
21 case.

22 Q. You spoke to my client after he was termi-
23 nated, didn't you?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And that was when he came to return his

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 54

1 uniform and other equipment?

2 A. Yes, he did, he did return them to me,
3 correct.

4 Q. And you told him that you disagreed with
5 his termination, didn't you?

6 A. No.

7 Q. You didn't?

8 A. No.

9 Q. You didn't tell him that you thought he
10 should keep fighting his termination?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Would he, if he said something to the con-
13 trary, would that be lying?

14 A. Yes, I was very careful to not discuss the
15 case itself. I probably did say I feel, feel bad
16 for the situation you put yourself in but that's,
17 that's where we're at.

18 Q. So prior to Mr. Kimbrough's terminate,
19 discharge in June 2021, there was no disharmony
20 among county employees because of his conversation
21 with Attny. Zeiger was there?

22 A. I don't believe there was, but I don't be-
23 lieve anybody really knew unless he, again unless he
24 put that forward. It was very not to besmirch him.
25 And when the investigation was going on, we didn't

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 55

1 know where it was going to go, so you don't want to
2 put that information out there.

3 Q. And prior to his suspension in June of
4 2021 and his discharge a month later, you would
5 agree there was no chaos or disruption in the jail
6 was there?

7 A. No, not, not related to that. Again that
8 information wasn't widely known in the jail, and he
9 was at his desk for the most part supervising. How-
10 ever, again I'd have to look at the, the vacation
11 slots. He had a lot of planned time off, and I
12 think he supplemented some of that after this star-
13 ted. So again, I don't know how much time he was in
14 the building at that point in time.

15 Q. This, do inmates receive a handbook?

16 A. They do.

17 Q. And does that in -- And does that handbook
18 detail the intake procedure?

19 A. Not in detail, just what they're legally
20 required to get, yeah.

21 Q. Do you believe that my client was trying
22 to, for lack of a better term, make trouble for the
23 county by having his conversation with Attny. Zei-
24 ger?

25 MS. GRIESER: Objection as to form, but

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 56

1 you can answer.

2 THE WITNESS: Yeah, again I'm basing this
3 off of my personal opinion and relationship
4 with him. I find the timing concerning. I
5 know he was really shaken by this initial in-
6 vestigation, not the Attny. Zeiger one but the
7 we'll call it the bullying investigation. I
8 had several conversations with him about that.
9 It, it flipped, it really threw him for a loop
10 and he was very concerned about it, and I was
11 trying to -- I was very reassuring with him
12 about it once I got information that, hey, this
13 is not something that you're going to be termi-
14 nated for. But we're going to fix this and
15 we're going to move on.

16 BY MR. MANSOUR:

17 Q. You had discussed in your first deposi-
18 tion, or you had mentioned in your first deposition
19 that the decision to suspend and then ultimately
20 discharge Mr. Kimbrough was discussed among you,
21 Lauren Smith, Marge McEvitt, and a number of indi-
22 viduals from the law department; is that accurate?

23 A. Correct, I may -- Again the law department
24 I can't quite remember, I'm sure we had somebody
25 represented it there.

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 57

1 Q. Did any of them express a belief to you
2 that they thought my client was trying to make trou-
3 ble for the county in their, in the -- in the law-
4 suit by the Patterson Estate?

5 MS. GRIESER: Objection as to form, you
6 may answer.

7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, there was discussion
8 that it could've been linked abso -- absolute-
9 ly. I mean to, to say that there's -- It's ei-
10 ther a giant coincidence or it's linked, you
11 know.

12 BY MR. MANSOUR:

13 Q. And in based on your recollection, was
14 that a factor that went into the decision to suspend
15 and then ultimately discharge him?

16 A. Was what? I'm sorry, what was --

17 Q. The fact that he was potentially trying to
18 make trouble or retaliate against the county.

19 A. I'm sure, I'm sure it was a factor. I
20 mean the main factor was the policy violations that
21 we, we just went over today, and the fact that depo-
22 sition requires a lot of trials and it was -- When
23 you violate these policies, you know you got to --
24 you got to look at that on the face. That's really
25 what the termination was about, the policy viola-

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 58

1 tions. It wasn't an emotional thing or people you
2 know feeling that they were retaliated against, but
3 obviously it was discussed.

4 Q. A few more questions about my client's job
5 duties. Was calling Attny. Zeiger and sharing the
6 information that he shared part of his job duties as
7 administrative lieutenant?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Was he directed by you to call Attny.
10 Zeiger and share the information that he shared?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Are you aware of whether he was directed
13 by any of his other superiors to call Attny. Zeiger
14 and share that information?

15 A. To my knowledge no.

16 MR. MANSOUR: I have no questions, no more
17 questions, thank you.

18 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19 MS. GRIESER: I have nothing further,
20 again thank you so much for attending.

21 THE WITNESS: Are we done?

22 MR. MANSOUR: You're done.

23 ---

24 (Witness excused.)

25 (Deposition ended at 4:42 p.m.)

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 59

1 (Transcript ordered by Ms. Grieser and Mr.

2 Mansour.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

David Kratz
02/18/2025

Page 60

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Ted Allen, Certified Reporter, Notary Public,
Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, do hereby certify that
DAVID KRATZ was first duly sworn to testify to the whole
truth, and that the above deposition was recorded
stenographically by me and was transcribed by means of
computer-aided transcription under my personal direction,
and that the said deposition constitutes a true record of
the testimony given by said witness.

I further certify that I am not a relative or
employee or attorney of any of the parties, or a relative or
employee of such attorney, or financially interested
directly or indirectly in this action.



TED ALLEN, CERTIFIED

REPORTER, NOTARY PUBLIC

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 9/4/2027

David Kratz
02/18/2025

1

Exhibits	2024 53:14	absolute ly	acts 11:22	affected 46:23	altercat ions
D-5 3:0 28:22	3 30th 12:17 13:12, 15,17	15:13 26:6 28:2 35:9 39:24 40:7 43:6,17	actu- 13:12 23:1	affirmat ive 51:9	14:2 ancies 16:1
D-7 3:0 30:9 35:15	320 42:17	45:1 46:11	acute 16:20	agree 17:20 29:3 35:21 55:5	and/or 29:23 anonymou s
D-8 3:0 30:19, 20,21 31:4,9	37 9:4	47:12	add 53:20	agreed 4:1	9:25 11:4 ant
D-9 3:0 36:19, 22	4 4:42 58:25	ac- 42:8 academy 27:16	addition 5:20 6:14 7:5 40:22	agreemen t 18:16 28:12	anyone's 7:23 apologie s
0 0282COB 30:19	7 34:2,11	acc- 27:25 access 7:22 27:21, 22,23	adequate 21:7	ahead 29:14 33:3 35:8,14 36:18	49:20 appeal 23:2 appeals 28:14
1 1 6:1 37:1 103,000 43:11 11 34:21 12-step 34:17	9 95,000 43:9 A ability 8:24 Abs 35:5 abso 57:8 absolute - 57:8	accurate 56:22 accusati ons 10:14 acro- 7:12 acronym 9:7 Act 36:17 action 27:5 actions 48:17 active 41:20	adhere 9:4 adhered 38:1 administ ers 43:1 administ rative 8:19 28:8 58:7 advantag e 24:20 25:21 aff- 43:22 affect 5:8	al- 5:7 9:23 alerted 19:23 alle- 18:8 allegati ons 10:10 alleged 10:2 ally 13:13 23:2 27:3	apologie s 49:20 appeal 23:2 appeals 28:14 appropri - 11:20 approxim ately 43:7 area 6:20 7:23 14:21 15:7 20:15, 20,22, 25 21:6,13 25:5 40:6
2 2021 54:19 55:4					

David Kratz
02/18/2025

2

45:25	attendan	attor-	36:18	behavior	36:13
46:25	ce	41:19	38:3	s	39:17
areas	21:20	attorney	backgrou	10:2	ble
6:12	attendin	18:15	nd	bel-	57:3
7:18	g	audit	45:9	22:25	block
16:20	12:15	7:19	backup	43:10	42:12
23:11	58:20	authoriz	46:7,8	belief	board
45:22	attentio	ed	bad	57:1	17:12
47:6,7	n	34:8	54:15	believed	43:12
arena	31:11	avai-	balance	32:19	body
35:10	34:20	27:17	22:23	ber	17:25
arrest	Attny	aware	bargaini	44:16	27:13
45:10	12:20	9:20	ng	bers	bosses
artment	17:3	10:16	28:12	43:13	14:20
21:19	18:6	18:19	bas-	52:21,	break
31:10	24:1	23:15,	33:22	22	13:14
assault	26:4,14	18	based	besmirch	30:23
25:16,	31:21	32:18,	57:13	54:24	31:3
17	33:18	21	basicall	betrayal	47:25
assist	34:12	50:4,12	y	27:3	bring
40:19,	37:6	51:15,	12:5	big	14:23
20 45:7	38:5	21	45:11	16:10	45:14
assisted	39:10,	52:6,8,	basing	17:16	50:1
42:6	25 41:8	13	56:2	21:11	brough
44:13	49:11,	58:12	basis	24:25	10:4
assume	24		50:22	27:3	18:20
42:25	50:5,9,	B	BCCF	42:24	32:12,
assuming	12,17,	Ba-	38:20	45:25	19
49:8	18,25	28:11	BCDOC15	46:9	50:10
52:20	51:6,	back	34:15	bind-	brough's
ate	11,16,	12:5	be-	28:10	39:10
11:21	22	16:21	24:9	biomet-	brought
Atiles	52:7,14	17:24	54:22	6:24	14:23
19:9	53:4,9,	18:17	beg	bit	29:16
attempt	15	20:15,	32:11	10:21	46:5
53:18	54:21	21	began	13:2	49:7,13
atten-	55:23	28:15	39:10	16:21	Bucks
36:25	56:6	29:14,		20:10	41:24
	58:5,9,	15		28:6	43:24
	13				

David Kratz
02/18/2025

3

budgets 42:4	calm 10:21	changed 43:16	22 55:21	33:1 46:17	complete d 11:9
building 16:6 55:14	camera 23:3	44:8	57:2	Commissa ry 42:20	complian ce 9:5
bullying 9:19 10:14, 23 11:7,12 56:7	captain 15:9	chaos 45:21, 22 48:7, 14,20 55:5	client's 51:5 53:9 58:4	committe d 11:22	compromi se 51:13
bump 12:4	career 27:1	charges 34:5	close 29:4	commodat e 42:9	compromi sed 51:6
bunch 29:17	careful 54:14	check 21:19	closed 35:4	common 8:25	con- 10:10
BURNS 30:12, 18,21	case 23:2, 14,20 51:10, 19 53:20, 21 54:15	chiefs 38:19	co- 13:6	Common- 9:7	23:5 49:23 54:12
	cases 22:25 34:5 42:3	choose 5:22,24	code 19:18, 21 20:2,6, 9 21:2 30:19 31:6,10	commonly 43:25	concern 14:24 39:21 40:3
C		CHRIA 36:15		commonwe alth 6:21 7:11	concerne d 43:4 56:10
C-P-I 7:10		cipline 46:23		communic a- 12:6	concerns 8:6
call 10:19 13:5 40:12 56:7 58:9,13	caused 48:15, 16	civil 23:22	cohol 5:8	communic ation 11:13 12:10	concrete 39:15
called 4:7 6:21 12:20 20:9 27:18	ception 8:23 21:6	CLEAN 6:21 8:1,4	coincide nce 57:10	communic ation 11:13 12:10	condi- 43:23
calling 9:19 26:21 58:5	clear 21:8,21	clearanc e 6:17	collecti ve 28:12	comp- 9:25	conduct 27:10 31:25 34:18
	cer- 23:10	clearanc es 40:15	com- 47:15 50:17	complain t 11:4	confiden - 37:2
	cers 19:23	client 51:15 52:6 53:13,	comforta ble 47:2	complete 7:3	
	cetera 6:1		command 14:15		
	chain 14:15 32:25 46:17				

David Kratz
02/18/2025

4

confiden	constant	22 56:8	18:20	coup-	50:3
tial	27:25	conveyed	19:22	31:15	cussions
7:18	contact	41:17	47:18	court	40:2
9:1	14:19	core	correcti	22:13	
17:9,18	41:11	31:12	ons	23:1,19	D
23:11	contacti	cornerst	4:17	25:1	D-5
24:12	ng	one	5:23,24	53:5	28:22
29:23	41:19	46:20	6:1	courts	D-7
37:2	content	correc-	10:7	24:14	30:9
confiden	10:11	6:23	correctl	COVID	35:15
tiality	contraba	correct	y	8:13	D-8
18:16	nd	6:8 8:9	16:25	CPIN	30:19,
34:15	18:23	9:16	COS	7:6,8,	20,21
37:5,25	24:18	19:4	12:11	9,15	31:4,9
41:19	35:1	22:4	could've	crazy	D-9
confinem	contract	25:23	10:13	25:12	36:19,
ent	28:13	26:2	14:7	create	22
17:1	42:24	27:8	20:21	17:19	D-A-V-I-
36:3	contract	29:1,2,	29:18,	25:3,4	D
41:24	s	6,8,10	19 49:2	36:7	4:14
45:10	42:18	32:7	57:8	45:21	daily
conflict	control	36:5	counsel	created	23:10
s	21:4	37:14,	4:1,19	24:25	47:16
45:16	38:22,	19	counseli	25:17	database
confusin	25	38:1,2,	ng	31:23	7:7
g	39:3,4	13	11:25	cribed	database
49:17,	conversa	39:1,2	county	52:3	s
20	tion	48:9,	4:16	criminal	6:18
confusio	8:14	10,12,	5:23	7:3 8:1	date
n	49:11	13	13:3	34:5	12:14,
50:3	50:17	49:21	38:15,	36:16	19 37:2
connecti	51:3,6	50:7,	18,20	crook	dates
on	53:9,15	10,11	41:24	7:3	35:17
24:9	54:20	52:4,5	43:24	crossed	36:1,3
consequ	55:23	53:7,	48:15,	32:23	David
nces	conversa	11,19	16,18	ctioning	4:7,14
31:23	tions	54:3	54:20	45:20	day
46:18	10:5,17	56:23	55:23	cuse	4:20
	15:20,	correcti	57:3,18		
		onal			

David Kratz
02/18/2025

5

12:21 ,	degree	deployme	21:1,3 ,	directed	46:12 ,
22	26:19	nt	14	58:9,12	14,17
13:21	deliver	24:10	32:23	directly	47:8
16:23	43:3	26:9	42:22	15:3	discipli
21:20	Delta-5	depo-	55:9	director	ne's
27:16	28:19	57:21	detail	4:17	33:6
52:22	Delta-7	deposi-	35:2	37:10	discipli
day-to-	35:13	56:17	55:18 ,	41:24	ned
day	dep-	depositi	19	45:2	28:7
45:15	17:16	on	detailed	directs	disclose
days	21:18	4:19	29:4	40:23	50:8
16:11	31:9	18:13	details	dis-	51:16 ,
de-	depart-	28:6	24:17	35:3	21
25:7	20:1	53:5	25:19	40:1	52:7,13
deal	26:22	56:18	53:15	46:22	disclose
45:13	departme	58:25	detox	disagree	d
46:10	nt	deputies	43:23	d	18:11
dealing	5:23	42:5	devices	54:4	23:25
47:13	9:13	deputy	47:1	disarray	26:13
deals	10:7,9	40:19	di-	31:23	29:23
8:21	21:9,17	45:2,8	24:24	discharg	34:11
dealt	30:7,10	des-	25:25	e	38:5
16:23	31:5	52:2	dictated	48:19	39:22
death	42:2	describe	17:21 ,	54:19	50:23 ,
17:7	44:19	48:8	25	55:4	24 52:9
24:10	47:20	describi	diff-	56:20	disclosi
26:11	56:22 ,	ng	16:21	57:15	ng
52:18	23	17:20	Dir	disci-	50:13
decide	departme	34:24	48:1,6	12:24	disclosu
33:4,8	nts	descript	dir-	33:9	re
decided	6:23,24	ion	14:25	discipli	24:2,4
11:23	depends	34:9	33:24	nary	35:2
decision	44:25	deserves	direct	11:24	39:10
28:11	deploy	44:23	14:19	27:4	discover
56:19	16:19	designat	19:1	41:13	y
57:14	25:8	ed	33:25	discipli	23:16
decision	deployed	37:10	direct-	ne	discuss
s	17:10	desk	20:24	32:4,20	33:3
8:25				33:1,3	34:4

David Kratz
02/18/2025

6

54:14	diversio	25:5	ectly	ended	ethics
discusse	n	31:11	15:1	58:25	30:12,
d	25:3	34:20	educatio	enforcem	19
15:15	diversio	36:25	n	ent	31:6,10
56:17,	ns	52:17	36:20,	6:22	events
20 58:3	25:17	drill	23	9:8	19:3
discussi	divulged	16:4	effect	47:14	47:16
on	18:20	24:8	52:12	ens	every-
8:14	41:8	drive	effects	32:1	27:12
57:7	divulgin	27:21	47:10	ensure	everybo-
discussi	g	drug	48:8	37:24	40:13
ons	27:6	43:22	ei-	entire	everybod
14:20	31:20	drugs	57:9	17:15	y's
26:20	DMS	43:24	emer-	equipmen	16:9
dishar-	27:19	52:4	25:3	t	evidence
48:14	documen-	duly	emergenc	54:1	28:9
disharmo	32:22	4:8	y	erent	33:4,8
ny	document	duties	19:19	16:22	ex-
41:3,5	14:3	28:14	20:17	erosion	50:2
48:20	18:12	41:25	26:10	47:7	exam
54:19	50:25	42:1	emotiona	erstand	8:10
disorder	52:16	58:5,6	l	51:2	EXAMINAT
44:14	document	dy's	58:1	ervising	ION
dispense	ation	40:14	emp-	16:13	4:10
d	14:5	dynamic	42:15	ervisor	48:4
44:14	document	15:23	Employee	45:17	examined
disrup-	ation's	16:17	34:21	escape	4:8
48:7	14:3		employee	25:15	excep-
disrupti	door	E	s	espec-	34:7
on	15:14	easier	42:15	11:12	excuse
41:2	doors	25:20	48:12	ess	45:19
45:18,	7:22	Echo	54:20	28:1	excused
19	doses	37:1	end	essentia	58:24
48:14,	43:7,9	ect	43:9	lly	Exhibit
20 55:5	dots	33:25	endanger	6:24	28:22
disturba	17:7,8	ected	ed	10:12	31:4
nce	draw	43:23	31:20	Estate	35:15
31:24	17:6			57:4	36:22

existenc	53:8	12:16	feeling	find	Food
e	extra	13:4	11:20	16:9	42:22
52:9	6:15	17:1	58:2	29:25	force
exists	41:3	18:2	feelings	56:4	22:3
24:9	extreme	19:23	48:24	finding	23:10
expect	43:23	23:4	felt	12:16	51:16,
29:11	extremel	27:9	11:3	13:4	18
expectat	y	49:7,	13:2	27:9	form
ion	43:22,	10,23	14:15	49:7,	4:4
46:16	23	53:1	26:16	10,23	25:16
expectat		57:17,	27:3	findings	32:5
ions	F	21	fic	11:16	35:6
8:14	face	factor	13:12	fine	55:25
experien	57:24	57:14,	fically	30:24	57:5
ce	faces	19,20	12:12	fingerpr	forty
8:23	8:4	failed	fifteen	int	5:19
15:11	faci-	37:4	16:14	6:25	6:6,14
30:4,5	45:6	47:5	43:16	fix	forward
45:9	faciliti	46:9	44:7	56:14	14:23
expertis	es	47:9	fight	flip	23:7
e	17:1	51:1	13:12	34:1	33:9
46:5	42:7	53:12	24:1,13	flipped	54:24
exploit	47:19	fake	25:4	56:9	found
25:24	facility	25:3	fighting	floor	11:21
34:25	32:2	false	54:10	40:13	founded
expose	40:8	24:11	fight	flow	11:7,10
16:5	41:24	fami-	13:10	40:24	free
exposing	44:3	29:11	filed	focus	5:25
17:8	46:13,	family	53:4	44:19	frequent
express	20	33:22	filing	folks	ly
57:1	47:11	FBI	4:3	27:18	26:23
extensiv	52:11	7:2	39:25	28:12	Fri-
e	facility	federal	53:4	33:3	4:19
15:11	's	37:2,5	filings	41:18	front
40:16	45:19	feel	23:19	44:1	25:2
42:20	facing	26:15	fill	45:14,	45:21,
44:12	23:7	54:15	44:18	24	22
extent	fact		45:3	follow	52:16
				37:5	

David Kratz
02/18/2025

8

full	42:13	35:7,18	31:8	28:5,14	hold
4:12	ger	36:24	36:19	30:5,6	22:17
42:13	38:6	47:22	47:20	41:14	47:23
fully	55:24	48:1	handbook	49:10,	home
18:11	giant	55:25	55:15,	13,21,	45:10
fun-	57:10	57:5	17	23	hope
45:19	give	58:19	handcuff	hearings	29:15
func-	26:3	59:1	s	28:8	hours
40:15	36:11	group	14:4	49:8	5:19
function	37:21	34:7	hands	heart	6:3,6,
ing	47:22	guarded	14:4	12:2	14
47:17	glass	23:11	happen	heavily	44:24,
	21:11	guarding	6:12	23:13	25
G	goad	26:18	25:18	held	house
game	47:7	guess	46:19	28:8	45:9
44:23	good	10:8,13	happened	hesitant	housing
gard	26:18	14:17	13:21	14:14	25:9
49:23	46:12,	guide-	25:14	hesitate	How-
gation	14,22	37:5	40:2	14:25	55:9
9:21	47:8	guided	hard	hey	HR
10:7	google	18:3	29:25	16:9	9:18
11:17	16:8	guidelin	hardship	56:12	11:6
gations	gram	es	41:2	high	human
18:9	45:11	28:23	harm	25:16	10:8
gency	grants	29:1	23:9	43:21,	hypothet
25:4	42:4	37:3	39:19	23	icals
general	great	guy	harmony	47:16	38:9,12
9:23	5:11	12:2	48:11	higher	
10:5	27:1		health	42:10	I
15:22	Grieser	H	42:19	highest	ially
16:7	4:11,23	hall	43:23	44:15	11:13
17:12,	28:24	21:10	44:3,4	highly	IBC
15 24:6	29:18,	hallway	hear-	7:18	12:6
26:8	20	20:4	28:17	history	ical
generall	30:13,	21:3	heard	7:3 8:2	42:19
y	15,17,	hand	20:2,6	36:16	ically
8:11,13	20,25	16:23	hearing	hit	33:23
10:16	31:7	28:19	12:16	8:13	
	32:8				

ication 44:13	in- service 5:19	informa- 26:18	18 38:9 47:16	21:25 institu- 35:2	intervie ws 11:10
idea 40:1	6:3,6	29:22 36:7	initial 56:5	institut ion 22:24	invested 26:25
identifi cation 7:2	inated 53:14	48:22 informat ion 6:25	initiate 33:5 initiate d 33:6	institut ional 31:20	investi- 9:20
28:23	Incarcer a- 35:25	7:1,11, 24 8:7,	injury 25:15	32:1 34:22	10:6 11:16
31:5	incarcer ated 36:10	22 9:1 17:9	inmate 14:5	35:9 instruct 47:15	investig a- 10:23
35:16	incarcer ation 35:17	23:5 24:1,8, 11,12	18:22 24:16,	intake 24:16	11:8 39:8,16
36:23	incidenc e 13:7	25:25 26:14, 21 27:6	17 25:2 34:6,25	25:19 34:25	investig ation 9:19
identify ing 36:8	incident 13:21	34:5,23 35:3	37:21 inmates 13:10	55:18 inter- 8:3	10:4 11:7 13:1
ieve 23:1	52:3	36:8, 11,17,	16:6,20 24:22,	intercha ngeable 40:14	39:9 54:25 56:7
43:11	incident ally 20:7	20,23 37:12,	25 25:24	intercom 19:25	involved 13:11
impact 40:5	include 7:6	17,21 39:16,	33:19, 24	interfac e 20:13	22:6 28:6 33:15,
45:25	Includin g 29:7	22 41:8 43:6,10	34:21, 22	interfac es 7:5	16,19, 23 involves 8:9
improved 15:7	indi- 56:21	48:25 50:9,13	36:8,12 47:17	Interper sonal 12:10	IPC 12:9 issue 14:7
in- 6:14	individu als 9:3	55:2,8 56:12	55:15 inmates' 42:9	interview w 8:10,14	15:2,13 19:19 24:6
9:17	influenc e 5:7	58:6, 10,14	ins- 31:16		
10:7		ing 6:7	instance 23:8		
25:5,		17:10	instance s		
20,21		20:20			
33:15,		22:13			
16		28:11,			
52:17					
56:5					

David Kratz
02/18/2025

10

41:18	judges	Kimbroug	27:3	lack	leav-
issued	23:2	h	33:9	9:18	20:19
29:9	25:2	6:5,16	kinds	55:22	leave
issues	July	9:15,21	5:25	laid	20:16
11:11,	53:14	10:18	knew	8:15	leaves
12 14:6	jump	12:1,	9:23,25	laint	19:14
22:6	46:3	15,20,	12:3	10:1	20:18
24:19	jumps	25	24:17	largely	led
44:4,20	14:11,	14:14,	41:18	17:2	47:7
	13	19	54:23	lated	51:12
J	June	15:10,	know-	33:5	ledge
jail	53:13	21 17:3	40:14	Lauren	40:15
14:22	54:19	21:16	knowing	56:21	left
17:22	55:3	23:25	12:1	law	5:14
22:2	Justice	26:5,13	25:19	4:8	19:16,
24:19	8:3	27:5,25	knowledg	6:21	20 47:1
25:13		29:11,	e	9:8	legal
40:13	K	22	8:24	10:9	22:6
43:22	K-A	31:19	16:7	26:22	legally
44:1,5,	4:14	32:6	17:3,4	42:2	53:19
7 47:16	K-R-A-T-	33:12	19:7	47:14	55:19
48:9	Z	34:10	21:15	56:22,	legation
50:18	4:14	37:4,21	22:15	23	s
51:6,	key	38:4	29:4	law-	9:24
13,21	14:3	39:11,	32:3	57:3	length
52:4	42:12	22	37:20	le	30:2
55:5,8	46:20	41:7,11	41:7	31:16	letter
ject	kick	46:5	45:12	leader	7:12
38:20	26:25	48:17,	50:2,15	45:17	level
JNET	Kim	19 49:2	53:3,9	leadersh	11:12
8:2,3	32:11	50:12	58:15	ip	25:17
9:10	Kim-	52:13	Kratz	47:3	42:10
job	10:3	56:20	4:7,14,	learn	46:4
15:4	18:19	Kimbroug	18	11:6	levels
34:9	32:11,	h's	48:1,7	41:7	14:6
45:12	18 39:9	26:25		learned	16:24
58:4,6	50:9	40:4	L	11:9	18:7
judge		46:23	lable	25:25	Lexapol
22:16		54:18	27:18	50:9	
		kind			
		5:13			

David Kratz
02/18/2025

11

6:1	litigati	14:2	ly	26:14	35:13,
liar	on	low	20:25	55:22	15
29:12	23:22	43:9	50:24	57:2,18	36:22
lieu-	41:21	loy	57:9	manageme	MAT
30:6	lity	17:17	lying	nt	44:10,
40:11	9:15	42:16	54:13	5:21	12,16
lieuten-	45:7	Lt		41:9	mate
8:8	lives	6:5,15	M	manageri	25:6
lieutena	33:16,	9:15,21	machine	al	33:16
nt	19,24	10:3,17	16:14	5:16	52:18
8:16,23	long	12:1,	machiner	mankind	mates
15:9	12:25	14,20,	y	16:3	25:21
20:24	longer	25	47:12	Mansour	33:17
21:4	40:8	14:14,	made	4:21	Mcevitt
40:11	looked	19	15:8	15:16	56:21
58:7	20:12	15:10,	32:18,	18:13	me '
lieutena	27:24	20 17:3	21	30:14,	5:3
nts	28:8,9	18:19	mail	16 31:2	meals
8:20	loop	21:16	23:8,9	32:5	42:23
15:14	56:9	23:25	main	35:6	means
40:13	lost	26:4,	21:4,14	48:5	50:8
lieve	28:17	13,25	57:20	56:16	med-
54:23	lot	27:5,25	maintain	57:12	42:18
lines	8:5,6	29:11,	7:20	58:16,	44:12
31:16	14:8	21	31:14,	22 59:2	medica-
37:6	15:8,16	31:19	16	Mansour'	43:3
link	23:7	32:3,6,	major	s	medical
26:10	26:25	11,18	15:13	19:1	14:7
52:17	38:9	33:11	majority	38:8	25:3
linked	40:9,10	34:10	9:13	manual	42:25
57:8,10	41:2,3,	37:4,20	23:19	27:12,	43:1
list	14	38:4	make	13 29:9	44:2,9
5:2	42:2,10	39:9,	8:25	Marge	medicati
listed	44:2	11,22	9:3,5	56:21	on
9:14	45:21,	40:4	13:5	marked	5:8
litigati	22	41:7,11	21:6	21:20	43:8,
ng	55:11	46:5,23	24:9	28:19,	10,14
33:22	57:22	48:17,	25:18,	22 30:9	
	lots	19 49:2	20	31:4,9	
		lunch			
		18:3			

David Kratz
02/18/2025

12

medicati	42:23		Norristo	objectio	6 47:4
ons	missed	N	wn	ns	officer'
42:6	7:12	na-	47:20	4:4	s
43:1	mission	16:1	notice	occurred	21:14
44:13	30:11	names	13:4	40:2	officers
members	31:5,10	18:20,	27:9	Ofcr	13:10
34:4	mode	22	notified	19:9,13	16:15,
men	16:17	nated	12:15	20:12,	18,19
18:15	modules	53:23	num-	16,17	38:17
ment	42:8	56:14	43:12	offender	39:1
20:2	money	national	44:15	35:16,	40:24
26:23	42:4	6:18	52:20,	25	41:12,
mental	month	nationwi	21	offense	14
42:19	7:21	de	number	5:5	46:15
44:2,4	43:10,	17:1	6:2	34:17	officers
mention	11 55:4	24:24	7:2,3	offenses	'
37:17	mony	nature	27:8	30:18	18:20
mentione	48:15	9:23	29:8	offered	ond
d	MOUD	17:9	42:15	5:23	47:23
22:9	44:13	needed	51:4	offi-	ongoing
36:15	move	15:9	56:21	19:22	5:17
41:22	16:21	46:25	numbers		46:1,2
42:25	17:17	nefariou	17:10,	office	open
44:9	33:9	s	12 26:9	6:17	15:14
56:18	47:3	26:1	52:17	7:6	openly
ments	56:15	Net-	nursing	9:3,5	16:1
5:18	movement	7:11	43:20,	20:24	operate
messages	52:11	Network	21	27:2	8:2
5:20	moving	6:22	nym	39:20	operatin
method	47:17	8:3 9:8	7:13	40:16	g
43:13	mug	ney		41:14	27:15,
MHB	7:7	41:20	O	45:17	20
44:10	municati	nicely	objectio	46:24	28:25
micro	ons	10:13	n	16:12	operatio
5:21	42:21	no-	32:5,14	19:11	ns
middle		16:18	35:6	20:8,14	10:19
5:13			55:25	27:15	23:10
million			57:5	28:5,18	32:2
				30:4,5,	45:15

David Kratz
02/18/2025

13

opiate 44:14	oversee 42:6,19	23:14 57:4	36:2,3, 10	44:5	28:1,9
opinion 56:3	P	Penndot 7:6	40:23 47:6	placemen t 15:10	29:5 38:15, 18,20 39:5
opportun ity 11:15	p.m. 58:25	Pennsylv ania 8:4	person- 27:2	plaintif f's 4:19	50:21 51:5,16 52:10,
order 6:17	papercli ps 29:17	9:6,7	personal 36:8	planned 55:11	12,14 57:23
23:15	par 37:17	people 10:12	personal ly 10:3	plate 42:13	policy 22:4
25:18	Paragrap h 34:2,	16:21	25:24	pline 12:25	23:6,8, 9 28:23
26:1	11,21	17:17	26:24	33:10	29:1
31:14	37:1	18:10	33:15	ploy 25:8	32:19 34:23
33:1	pardon 32:11	25:13, 21 28:7	personne l 52:11	point 11:5,6	35:3,10 38:5
41:16	part 15:3	39:23	phic 7:7	19:13	42:3
46:12,	34:9	41:6	phone 30:24	26:12	57:20, 25
14,22	39:16	43:22	photogra -	39:18, 20 46:4	ponse 26:11
47:8	55:9	44:3	7:6	55:14	populati on 43:15
50:6,19	58:6	46:15	Photogra phic 7:11	pol 24:5	portion 19:2
51:12	parties 4:2	47:1,2, 5,18	physical 7:24	police 6:23	posed 38:10
ordered 59:1	22:1	48:23	pick 5:22	7:20	position 4:15
ords 14:2	parts 21:13	49:2,3, 7,13	PII 36:7	9:6,7	5:17
39:20	past 7:21	52:21	place 23:15	38:19	8:15 40:9
organiza tion 34:7	32:25	58:1	29:15	policies 8:24	47:10
ously 30:9	35:16,	per- 27:4	39:5	9:10,11	potentia lly 57:17
out- 23:4	25 36:3	permi- 5:21		17:22, 25 18:3	
out-of- state 8:5	pat 17:21	permitte d 7:23		22:5,18	
overreac t 8:25	Patterso n	40:8		23:7	
		person 24:15		25:8,9	
		28:7		27:9,12	
		33:18			
		35:22			

David Kratz
02/18/2025

14

Power	18:14	procedur	34:21		re-
27:19	28:15	es	37:11	Q	8:22
pre	30:5	8:24	proximit	qualify	15:9
44:8	54:18	9:10,11	y	45:18	21:5
pre-	55:3	27:15,	7:24	quar-	29:3
28:18	prison	20 29:5	PSP	43:19	35:21
pre-	16:8	39:5	9:7	ques-	42:4,7
covid	43:12	process	Psychiat	27:23	49:22
44:8	prisoner	11:24	ric	question	reach
presente	7:1	processe	42:7	10:19	26:22
d	37:12,	s	47:19	32:17	reaction
53:18	18	47:13	public	51:2	ary
press	prisoner	professi	36:20,	question	12:24
17:13	s	onal	23	-	read
37:11,	47:15,	32:1	pulled	38:8	35:24
16	17	program	13:13	question	read-
pretty	privacy	44:10,	18:10	s	only
23:5	8:5,6	11,12	punch	4:4	27:22
33:25	36:12,	promised	26:17	26:23	reading
40:16	14	50:18	punishme	35:12	4:2
42:13	private	promoted	nt	48:2,6	real
previ-	9:1	8:8	11:20,	51:4	26:25
30:8	privy	15:9	21	58:4,	47:24
previous	10:6	promotio	put	16,17	realized
ly	pro-	ns	12:7	quick	26:12
31:9	45:10	8:12	15:7	36:21	reason
pri-	problem	protect	17:19	47:24	15:12
15:17	45:13	24:2,13	29:15	quiremen	20:21
16:2	proced-	36:7	39:25	t	41:12
17:11	25:19	protecte	41:9	35:22	reasons
43:15	28:25	d	44:5,25		4:25
print	42:3	7:1 8:7	50:25	R	24:23
52:1	procedur	protecti	54:16,	radio	reassuri
printed	e	ve	24 55:2	19:24	ng
18:12	17:21	23:15	putting	ral	56:11
prior	22:4,5	50:5,19	14:4	22:25	rec-
13:12,	23:6	51:12		rank	14:1
24,25	25:22	provide		46:6	39:19
	55:18				

recall	35:19	recruitm	reopenin	31:15,	responsi
4:18	recollec	ent	g	16	bility
13:9,11	tion	16:9	53:19	55:20	40:10
32:22	57:13	42:4	reorgani	requirem	responsi
33:11	recommen	redacted	zation	ent	ble
38:10	dations	23:13	42:8	36:2	6:9
39:11	11:16	refer	replacem	37:16	restrict
receive	record	9:17	ent	requirem	45:10
47:19	4:13	regard	15:10	ents	restrict
55:15	8:20	51:5	report	5:20	ed
receivin	15:18	regard-	31:25	requires	25:9
g	31:3	22:12	43:12,	57:22	result
43:14	47:24,	regulati	13	res-	14:5
recep-	25	ons	reported	26:10	23:23
8:17	records	7:18	15:25	reserved	retalia-
40:5	6:17,19	22:3	43:11	4:5	13:2
receptio	8:17,	relate	REPORTER	resource	retaliat
n	22,23	34:14	29:16	s	e
8:21	9:12	related	reporter	10:8	57:18
9:13	20:1,5,	55:7	s	respecti	retaliat
13:13,	8,13,	relation	38:13,	ve	ed
21	14,21	33:12	15,16	4:2	58:2
14:16,	21:8,	relation	represen	respondi	retentio
17,21	17,18	ship	ted	ng	n
15:18,	28:16	56:3	56:25	19:18	16:10
19	30:4,7	release	represen	20:16	17:16
19:8,	34:5	23:5,6,	ting	response	return
14,17,	36:17	12	41:20	s	53:25
20	40:5,6	35:16,	requesti	5:3	54:2
20:5,	41:23	25	ng	responsi	returns
15,25	44:19	remember	35:22	bi-	6:25
21:9,13	46:24	39:14	36:3	9:14	review
40:6	records/	56:24	require-	responsi	11:15
46:25	recep-	rendered	5:17	bilities	28:23
recipien	45:22	28:10	required	28:15	29:1
ts	records/	reopen	5:15	37:25	reviewed
44:16	receptio	53:20	6:6	44:21	11:19
recogniz	n		22:16		
e	6:11				
28:20					

David Kratz
02/18/2025

16

reviews 23:3	running 46:20	security 16:5	20:23	28:18	skills 12:6,10
revision s 29:7	runs 46:14	17:18	serve 42:23	30:8	40:10,
Rhoades 24:18	S	22:24	served 36:4	showed 18:13	15
37:22	sack 18:3	23:4	service 6:15	showing 35:13	slack 40:21
52:3	safety 16:5	26:5	42:22	sically 28:12	slew 42:21
ric 6:25	20:19	50:18	seve- 22:24	signing 4:2	slots 55:11
riety 4:25	22:23	51:7,13	seventy 44:24	similar 6:22	small 11:25
rights 36:9	24:5	selected 15:12	shaken 56:5	simple 47:14	12:4
ripple 47:10	42:9	self-dis 34:15	share 38:23	single 34:7	25:4
risk 23:4	salvagea ble 12:3	seminars 5:24	39:1	sir 49:19	Smith 56:21
risked 31:19	sealed 23:19	sense 8:25	58:10, 14	sistentl y 23:6	smuggle 18:23
risks 17:19	sealing 4:2	21:7	shared 18:6,8, 9 26:4	sit 33:7	24:18
rity 29:24	search 17:25	sensitiv e 7:1	27:21	sition 57:22	smugglin g 52:4
road 12:4	searches 25:9	8:22	58:6,10	sitting 16:16, 18	solve 45:13
rocked 13:1	sec- 14:21	17:18	sharing 58:5	situation 20:17	somebody 's 26:21
role 47:3	47:22	22:16, 17,18	shift 40:14	sixteen/ seven- 30:3	son 15:18
rose 11:11	secrete 35:1	26:5	shortly 13:19, 20	sixty 44:24	16:3
run 9:2	section 52:23	27:6	shots 7:7		17:12
32:25	secu- 29:23	29:24	should' ve 29:16		43:16
40:13	secure 6:20	sentence d 47:17			sonnel 27:5
45:9	7:22	sentence s 47:19	show 21:21		SOP 51:21,
		sergeant 15:6			22
		16:12			SOPS

17:22, 25 18:3 28:3 38:20 sor 21:4 sort 13:2 16:9 24:1 sought 22:1 sound 25:12 speak 10:3,17 17:11 37:10, 16 speaking 8:11 13:20 41:16 42:13 speci- 12:11 13:11 special 40:14 speciali zed 7:17 specific 18:17 37:12, 17 specific al- 50:23	specific ally 15:18 23:14 34:8 36:25 37:11, 21 52:21 specific s 16:4 speculat e 51:25 spelled 10:1 18:14 spend 40:18 spending 42:2 45:24 spoke 41:14 51:10 53:22 spoken 23:4 sponsibl e 29:4 spouses 38:17 staff 5:15 9:2 16:6 25:5,7	26:10 29:3,9 31:15 34:4,8 37:9 41:3,6 42:18, 25 43:1, 20,21 52:22 staff- 17:9 staffed 19:8,11 staffing 15:17, 21,23, 25 16:2,4, 8,17,24 17:7, 12,16, 17 18:7 21:7,19 24:7,10 45:15 52:17 stand 22:25 42:12 standard 27:14 28:25 Standard s 9:4 stands 12:9	star- 55:12 start 27:16 starting 5:12 state 4:12 7:2,19 9:6,7 37:5 47:18, 19 state/ federal/ local 16:3 static 15:24 stayed 20:22 step 11:24, 25 stipulat ed 4:1 stood 14:12 stop 44:6 stories 17:14 street 43:25 streets 44:4	strong 48:23 structur e 40:22 46:6,15 structur ing 42:8 stuff 18:18 sub- 38:19 subject 38:15, 18 50:5,19 51:11 subordin ates 6:10 11:13 12:7 successf ul 44:15 successf ully 24:18 34:25 sudden 13:5 suit 57:4 sup- 16:12 45:16 super	45:6 superint endent 45:6,8 superior s 58:13 supervi- 21:3 supervis e 8:17 supervis ed 6:13 supervis es 8:20 supervis ing 40:18 47:6 55:9 supervis or 6:16 9:12 33:7 40:24 supervis or's 21:14 Supervis ors 27:23 supervis ory 5:16
--	--	--	---	--	---

David Kratz
02/18/2025

18

suppleme	tactics	teen	49:9	things	13:1,12
nted	23:10	30:4	terminat	10:1	15:17
55:12	tain	telecom-	ion	12:2	17:14
supposed	23:11	42:20	40:5	14:9	21:2,
46:16	takes	telling	50:22,	15:8	16,17
surprise	12:2	49:3	23	17:13	27:23
d	taking	ten	54:5,10	18:8,14	30:2
26:17	27:1	43:16	57:25	22:2,17	36:4
surveill	talk	44:7	terms	23:3,13	39:18,
ance	5:2	tenant	17:12	25:10	19
52:2	12:6	30:7	24:6	26:24	40:18
suspend	14:25	40:12	52:10	40:17	41:23
39:11	15:13,	tend	test	42:10,	42:2
48:18	23 16:1	40:25	22:23	14,21	45:24
56:19	24:6	47:1,3	testifie	43:2	46:4
57:14	33:7	tention	d	44:8	48:3
suspende	41:5,17	42:5	4:9	45:7	55:11,
d	52:20	ter	22:12	46:18	13,14
53:13	talked	43:20	49:8	47:5	times
suspensi	15:16	term	50:4	thought	22:14
on	18:25	6:21	testimon	12:24	23:12
40:4	48:11	9:19	y	13:6	25:14
55:3	50:21	55:22	5:8	28:17	timing
swap	51:2	term-	25:1	54:9	12:23
40:11	talking	53:13	ther	57:2	56:4
sworn	10:12	termi-	57:10	threat-	tion
4:8	13:15,	53:22	There'd	31:25	8:18
system	22,25	56:13	48:22	threw	10:24
7:20	44:20,	terminal	there-	56:9	11:9
27:18,	21	6:21,22	12:17	throw	12:7
19 35:1	tant	terminat	thing	26:17	14:22
systems	47:7	e	5:14	Thursday	26:19
8:2,5	task	48:17	16:10,	4:20	29:23
27:17	tation	54:18	19	tiality	34:8
	32:23	terminat	17:16	till	36:1,8
T	ted	ed	24:7	27:16	37:1
table	32:4	27:5,6	25:1	time	39:9,17
30:18	55:13	46:10	49:6	4:5 6:5	40:6,16
			58:1	11:5	45:23
					48:8,23
					56:18

tional 35:3	28:17	trials 57:22	ultimate ly 11:21	41:23	val- 31:14
tions 6:24	train- 6:6	trou- 57:2	56:19	unobstru cted 21:12	values 30:11
27:24	12:12	trouble 55:22	57:15	untrue 18:10	31:5,
43:4,24	training 5:15,	57:18	unbecomi ng 27:10	unwillfu lly 46:19	10,12
58:1	17,20	tted 5:22	34:18	updates 29:7	verbal 5:4
tionwide 16:2	6:3,15	turn 22:16	unclothe d 17:24	upset 10:20,	versatio ns 10:11
title 9:4	8:1,2,	42:12	und- 51:1	23	49:24
35:24	training s 5:21,	tween 24:10	understa ffed 17:2	ure 25:20	versions 24:25
titution al 31:17	6:2	twelve 16:14	understa ffing 26:8	42:4	26:1
today 5:9	trank 43:24	two- second 30:23	understa ffing 26:8	ures 29:1	vestigat ion 9:18
43:24	transcri pt 4:3	type 6:11	understa nding 16:25	usual 5:2	10:8
50:21	trary 54:13	42:14	18:5	41:25	56:6
57:21	Travis 20:12,	types 8:12	19:16	42:1	video 19:1,2,
told 11:2	17		23:21	V	13
17:3	treading 35:10	U	unfair 11:3	va- 4:24	20:8,16
18:15,	treatmen t 42:6,18	ues 31:15	uniform 54:1	vac- 15:25	21:22,
16 37:6	44:13	Ulmer 19:9,14	unit 14:16,	vacancie s 16:2	23 22:3
49:2,4	47:20	20:16	17	17:13	52:2,7
52:1	triage 47:15	19,24	15:19	vacant 20:20	viduals 56:22
54:4	trial 4:5	33:1,12	18:10	47:10	view 19:1
top 24:11		ultimate 27:4	19:8,	vacation 55:10	21:12
31:24			14,17,		vio- 33:4
tory 13:3			20		viola- 57:25
total 42:17			20:5,9		violate 57:23
tracked 6:3					
train 6:10					

David Kratz
02/18/2025

20

violated	45:4,5, 8	witnesse	_____	51:6,
28:10		s	X	11,16,
32:19	wardens	50:1	Xylazine	22
34:10	42:5	53:20	43:25	52:7,14
38:4	warrants	won	_____	53:4,
50:20	7:2	23:2	Y	10,15
violatio	watch	word	year	54:21
n	19:5	10:14	7:20	56:6
34:14	way-back	words	42:23	58:5,
violatio	16:14	48:7	years	10,13
ns	wealth	work	8:13	
57:20	9:8	7:12	12:1	
viously	webinars	40:9,23	16:14	
28:19	5:24	41:4	30:4	
vision	Wednesda	45:14,	43:16	
30:11	y	15	44:7	
31:5,10	4:21,	working	_____	
volume	22,24	21:16	Z	
47:16	week	42:22	Zei-	
_____	4:24	works	38:5	
W	5:21	6:16	55:23	
wait	44:24	46:17	Zeiger	
32:16	weeks	world	12:20	
waiting	10:18	14:4	17:3	
32:13	weighs	17:15	18:6	
39:15	23:5	42:10	24:1	
waived	weird	would've	26:4,14	
4:3	5:12	6:5	31:21	
walk	widely	20:7	32:3,7	
21:3,5	55:8	written	33:18	
wan-	willfull	52:12	34:12	
32:3	y	wrong	37:6	
wanted	46:18	8:9	39:10,	
5:14	window	14:16	25 41:8	
32:20	20:25	53:19	49:11,	
warden	21:11	wrote	24	
40:18,		40:1	50:5,	
19			17,18,	
			25	